UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,535	08/23/2007	Gunnar Christer Hansson	06238LH	5427	
	7590 09/03/201 Z, GOODMAN & C H	EXAMINER			
220 Fifth Avenu	220 Fifth Avenue PANG, ROGER				
=	16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3655		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/574,535	HANSSON, GUNNAR CHRISTER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roger L. Pang	3655
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	August 2010	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
closed in accordance with the practice under	ex parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 40	0.0.210.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
,,	,	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the RCE filed for application 10/574,535 on August 4, 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander '458 in view of Baker '279. With regard to claim 13, Alexander teaches a hand-held power nut runner 10, comprising: a housing 12 with a rotation motor 14, an output shaft 20, and a reduction gearing connecting the motor to the output shaft; wherein the reduction gearing comprises a plurality of planetary gearing stages 16 having a common ring gear 72 supported in the housing, and each one of the planetary gearing stages includes a sun gear 32/82, a planet wheel carrier 66/86, and a plurality of planet wheel units 62/84 engaging the ring gear and the sun gear, and wherein needle bearings 68/90 are used for rotational support. Alexander lacks the teaching wherein each of the planet wheel units of at least one of the planetary gearing stages comprises two axially spaced spur gears fitted to a common spindle. Baker teaches a similar planetary gearing comprising a ring gear 30, and planet gears 24/25 supported by a carrier 35 (connected to the output 21), wherein: each planet wheel unit comprises two axially spaced spur gears 24/25 mounted on a common spindle 26a; one of the spur gears being rigidly secured to the

Page 3

common spindle and said spindle is rotatively journalled relative to the planet wheel carrier 35 via a bearing 27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Alexander to employ the dual spur gear planet gear and carrier/bearing arrangement (using the needle bearings of Alexander) in view of Baker in order to improve both the reliability by improving the work transmitted by the parts (Col. 1). With regard to claim 14, Alexander and *Baker* teach the power nut runner, wherein the planet wheel carrier 86; 35 of said at least one of the planetary gearing stages is coupled to the output shaft 20; 21. With regard to claims 15 and 16, Alexander teaches the power nut runner, wherein said sun gear 82 of said at least one of the planetary gear stages forms a part of a planet wheel carrier 66 of a preceding planetary gearing stage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wallace, Doniwa and Borries have been cited to show similar power nut runners.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place

Application/Control Number: 10/574,535

Art Unit: 3655

the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby	certify	that this	correspon	dence is	being	facsimile	transn	nitted ⁻	to the	Patent	and
Tradema	ark Offi	ce (Fax 1	No. (571) 2	273-830	0) on _		(l	Date)			

ped or printe	d name of per	son signing th	is certificate:
ignature)			

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark

Application/Control Number: 10/574,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 3655

Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your

response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the

processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account

may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Le can be reached on 571-272-7092. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roger L Pang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3655

Roger L Pang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3655

September 2, 2010